	1 2	FOR THE SOUTH	STATES DISTRICT COURT ERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TON DIVISION
	3 4 5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS. MARIA ROJAS, ET AL)) NO. H-11-CR-116) May 30, 2012)
	7 8 9		ON RESTITUTION NORABLE LYNN N. HUGHES
	10 11 12	For the Government:	Mr. Joe Magliolo, AUSA
	13 14 15	TOT CITE GOVETIMENTE.	Mr. Ruben Perez, AUSA Ms. Cynthia DeGabrielle, AUSA Ms. Katherine Hayden, AUSA U. S. Attorney's Office 910 Travis, Suite 1500 Houston, Texas 77002
	16 17 18	For Defendant Maria Rojas:	Mr. David Adler Ms. Sue Jana David Adler, PC 6750 West Loop S., Suite 120 Bellaire, Texas 77401
14:44	192021	Court Reporter:	Bruce Slavin, RPR, CM
	22232425	Proceedings reported by med by computer-aided transcrip	chanical stenography and produced otion.

	1	THE COURT: Mr. Perez
	2	MR. PEREZ: Yes, Your Honor. Good afternoon.
	3	THE COURT: good afternoon. Have we got an
	4	estimate of the cash recoverable from the property?
14:45	5	MR. PEREZ: I think Miss Hayden may speak to that,
	6	Your Honor.
	7	MS. HAYDEN: Your Honor, we have the appraised
	8	value, but you know how that can be. The property has been
	9	vacant for over a year, year and a half to two years. It
14:45	10	would just be a guesstimate. I mean
	11	THE COURT: What was the appraised value?
	12	MS. HAYDEN: The total amount of the properties
	13	were appraised at anywhere between 450- to 500,000 total for
	14	all ten properties. They have taxes outstanding on all of
14:45	15	them and things like that.
	16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
	17	Now, I thought the last time we were together
	18	we talked about putting this into a fund and people would
	19	draw against it and then, when it was proportionate to their
14:46	20	need or, I mean, proportionate to something, then, if some
	21	didn't need it, we could use that to help the needs of
	22	others after they exhausted it. Is that what we talked
	23	about?
	24	MR. PEREZ: Your Honor, just for the record, we're
14:46	25	submitting Document 299. I know what was submitted right

before that, the one that we tried -- The one that we're
asking the Court to consider is Document No. 299, the order
of restitution.

MR. MAGLIOLO: I can address that, Your Honor. We did talk about it. We just did not know -- on this side, on the criminal side, we just did not know the mechanism to do that.

THE COURT: I still don't know.

14:46

14:47

14:47

14:47

14:48

Yes, Miss DeGabrielle.

MS. DeGABRIELLE: Your Honor, for the record,
Cynthia DeGabrielle for the United States.

I have done some research into other situations with minor victims and other victims in which the Court may want to set up a fund for future needs as opposed to strictly past compensation. I have a couple of forms that have been used in other districts that I am studying now.

What those courts have done is essentially set up a trust, which is -- it's not realistic to expect the district court clerk to administer those funds because it might be complex. But what these courts have done is set up a separate trust fund with a trustor, a trustee and a mechanism by which the expenses of the victim are assessed. And I'll be glad to provide some suggestions to the Court if the Court would like.

1 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, that's going to take 2 some time, but I need to decide which -- and I guess the 3 important thing is that, while \$450,000 is a nice sum of 4 money, it's two-thirds of the first request, and that request -- I have some discussion for that later. 5 14:48 6 Mr. Adler. 7 MR. ADLER: Judge, I don't really have a dog in 8 this fight so long as the Court stands by its prior ruling 9 that the restitution amount will not be any greater than the 14:48 10 amount recovered from the forfeited properties. The only 11 reason I rise is to express a concern to the government and 12 the Court that the way this order is worded I don't know if 13 you can just cut a check to a minor and the order say that 14 the money is --15 MR. MAGLIOLO: All are adults now, Your Honor. 14:48 16 MR. ADLER: Oh. Okay. I didn't realize that. 17 THE COURT: I thought one of them was still 17. 18 MR. MAGLIOLO: I don't believe so, Your Honor. THE COURT: The function of the trust is not that 19 14:49 20 they're a minority. It was for seeing that the money went 21 carefully. You know, this is a limited fund and I think it 22 should be spent to the extent it's reasonable and all that 23 for restorative benefits. 24 All right. I did -- if you see the chart 25 there --14:49

1 MR. PEREZ: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: So, I took the months that they worked 3 and then next is the percentage of the total time that the 4 five of them worked. And then assuming -- I didn't know the I assumed \$500,000 was a realistic figure. 5 14:50 6 so, the next one is what they would get if \$500,000 were 7 recovered based on prorating it by the time spent. 8 The next column is the actual request that has 9 been made, and the last column is the percentage of the 14:50 10 funds available that that request represents. 11 Miss DeGabrielle, do you have a suggestion 12 about a presumptive allegation based on tenure? 13 MS. DeGABRIELLE: Your Honor, my concern with 14 regard to the financial litigation aspect is just making 15 sure that the losses each victim suffered or experienced is 14:51 16 somehow recorded in the record with the Court at least --17 THE COURT: Well, I would -- You could probably make a claim for \$450,000 for each of them and support it 18 19 with something slightly different from Michigan's, but, 14:51 20 still, on the other hand, we're not capable of ascertaining 21 that one of them wasn't scarred by it at all or does not now 22 appear to be scarred, from some professional point of view. 23 It seems to me that how long they were there represents 24 approximation of the level of exposure to the harm. 25 MS. DeGABRIELLE: Yes, Your Honor. 14:52

THE COURT: Now, to get a liquidated sum, I could 1 2 simply double -- make it a million-dollar restitution order 3 for the proceeds and then say that each one gets this fraction held in trust. I mean, what we have to work out is -- and I don't believe you were here last time -- is one 14:52 5 6 of these girls is going to disappear. She's going to decide 7 that she's had enough of this and move to Belgium or 8 something. That money should not be wasted. I believe it 9 will be easy to find a trustee who would be willing to do 14:53 10 this, and I am willing to help to cut the trustee's costs, 11 but there are these plan administrators in tort litigation. When there's a settlement, the lawyers don't know anything 12 13 about it. And, so, they keep track of the people and they 14 process the claims and present them to me. 15 The mechanics we can work out. It's what we 14:53 16 say that makes it definitive, because, once the land is 17 sold, then we just have a pile of cash, and then the Court 18 will hold the proceeds of the restitution, whatever it is, 19 from these -- ten properties, is it? 14:54 20 MS. HAYDEN: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: -- ten properties will be held in trust 22 presumptively allocated by their approximate tenure on 23 Clinton Drive. I don't know what else we could do. 24 MS. DeGABRIELLE: Your Honor, the statute provides 25 for the order in which funds are paid out to victims, and 14:54

when a victim is no longer there or no longer available to 1 2 receive the money, then there is a procedure for handling 3 that. 4 THE COURT: We'll just go pro rata. 5 MS. DeGABRIELLE: Yes. 14:55 6 THE COURT: So, if the person who had 45 percent of 7 the time dropped out, it would simply double everybody 8 else's percentage. 9 MS. DeGABRIELLE: Yes, Your Honor. 14:55 10 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Perez, are you 11 following your co-counsel? 12 MR. PEREZ: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: One observation. While we are unable 14 to do some of the things, the work done and the requests 15 made by the YMCA are significantly more thoughtful and 14:55 16 limited to what they should have been. I want to speak 17 briefly about Michigan. 18 We have a Texas claim for \$725,000. 19 or whatever it is, the "Y", says that it will cost them 14:56 20 about \$4,000 to get legal assistance to adjust their 21 immigration status. In Michigan that costs \$35,000 or 22 10 plus 25 that somehow they have already incurred. Doing what I have no idea. I have seen a whole bunch of lawyers 23 24 on that side of the table, but they have all been paid by 25 somebody other than Lutheran Services of Michigan. 14:56

The extrapolations and things -- And while I 1 2 agree in an ideal world she should get to go to college for 3 free, the money is not there, first of all; and, second of 4 all, if it were, it probably ought to be spent or retained to make sure that the others all got whatever counsel is the 5 14:57 6 most penetrating, profound and long-lasting. Job counseling 7 we could get from dozens of places for free. Competent 8 psychotherapy is a somewhat more expensive and essential 9 program because, whatever the effects of this experience 14:57 10 are, they can interfere with education, employment and other 11 relationships, where job counseling, because you've got to 12 write a resume and some things like that, you could do while 13 "disturbed" -- judging by my clerk's applications. I have 14 never seen Mr. Perez's resume, but....

So, that's the plan. It's still tentative because we have to work out the details. I don't think we need to have you here for working out the trust and finding a trustee and that sort of thing.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14:58

14:58

14:59

MR. MAGLIOLO: If it please the Court, there was one thing that would make the transfer of property perhaps smoother, and even though, arguably, this defendant has right to the property that has already been forfeited, to help effectuate the transfer of title a lot of times the title companies want the last owner's signature or at least something indicating they are transferring the title, and we

	1	have brought that paperwork today. I didn't know if the
	2	Would the Court want to order the Defendant to sign that
	3	or
	4	THE COURT: No. I would ask him politely to do it.
14:59	5	MR. MAGLIOLO: And that's what I was wondering,
	6	because that would effectuate the because we don't want
	7	to it would be worth less if there's any kind of cloud on
	8	the title, Your Honor.
	9	THE COURT: Yes, sir. Before I got this cushy
14:59	10	government job I actually did a lot of land work as a
	11	lawyer. That's why I am being picky with you all when you
	12	bring me these orders that wasn't conveying the title.
	13	Is there an acknowledge on the document?
	14	MS. DeGABRIELLE: The proposed document?
15:00	15	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
	16	MS. DeGABRIELLE: Yes, Your Honor.
	17	THE COURT: May I see an exemplar.
	18	MS. DeGABRIELLE: Yes, Your Honor.
	19	THE COURT: Actually, I think it needs an
15:00	20	acknowledgment and not a jurat. It needs to say this person
	21	acknowledged he executed
	22	MR. ADLER: The government has very politely asked
	23	me to present this document to my client and I have done so.
	24	She has declined to sign it. I am concerned that if the
15:00	25	Court orders her to sign it that it may be seen as some type

of waiver or possible appellate issue on our part. She does 1 2 not want to sign it. I agree with the government that she 3 has forfeited, as of the Court's order, all interest in the property; so, it doesn't seem to be necessary for the transfer. 15:01 5 6 And I would respectfully disagree with 7 Mr. Magliolo's comment that it somehow affects the value of 8 the property whether she signs this. The Court has divested 9 her, through the forfeiture order, of any interest in this 15:01 10 property. So, compelling her to sign this seems 11 inappropriate and unnecessary. 12 THE COURT: Why is it inappropriate? 13 MR. ADLER: Inappropriate in the sense that you're 14 forcing her to sign a document that may preclude her from 15 raising an issue on appeal. 15:01 16 THE COURT: If she does it under compulsion I'm not 17 sure there is, technically, a waiver. 18 MR. ADLER: But it just doesn't seem necessary 19 since the forfeiture -- I don't think I have ever been 15:01 20 presented with this kind of document before, because when 21 the property is forfeited, under the law, her interest in 22 the property no longer exists. 23 THE COURT: DeGabrielle's middle name is "Belt and 24 Suspenders". When you buy a piece of land every bypasser 25 you see on your way to the courthouse will sign a waiver. 15:02

MR. ADLER: And I think, outside the criminal 1 2 context, that's good practice. 3 THE COURT: I don't understand why it would waive 4 her technical claims on appeal, but.... MS. DeGABRIELLE: Your Honor, may I clarify 15:02 5 6 something on the record? As Miss Hayden made it clear in 7 the memorandum that we submitted to the Court, the United 8 States does not intend to proceed with forfeiting properties 9 but, rather, in order to get the restitution to the victims 15:02 10 more quickly, we will proceed through the financial 11 litigation statutes under the Federal Debt Collection 12 Practices Act or under a direct order of the Court, if the 13 Court elects to go that way under the All Writs Act. But, in any event, it is not our intention to finalize the 14 15 forfeiture so as to avoid the money going into the hands of 15:03 16 the Attorney General. 17 THE COURT: Well, but are we going to hold the 18 funds until the appeal is over? 19 MS. DeGABRIELLE: No, Your Honor. Our right to 15:03 20 execute a lien or to foreclose on a lien is not stayed 21 pending Defendant's appeal unless the Defendant asks the 22 Court to stay execution until the judgment is -- and the 23 Court orders us to stay execution of judgment. 24 MS. HAYDEN: Just to add, Your Honor, I believe the 25 forfeiture was unopposed and the restitution is unopposed. 15:03

I don't know if that makes any difference, but on appeal I'm 1 2 not really sure what the appellate issues would be since 3 both have been unopposed. MR. ADLER: Judge, there were sentencing objections 4 raised in the way the restitution was calculated, number 15:04 5 6 one. What I'm not opposed to, on behalf of my client, is if 7 the restitution amount is not larger than what is obtained 8 from the forfeited properties, then I don't believe that's 9 going to be an issue. 15:04 10 THE COURT: Well, I thought I ordered it. Didn't I 11 say that in the order? 12 MR. ADLER: I think you said it in court. 13 remember if it was in the order, but I don't know if the 14 government has the same recollection as I do. 15 THE COURT: Well, I thought I made that commitment. 15:04 16 Whether it was orally or in writing I don't actually know. 17 MR. MAGLIOLO: Your Honor, that's also the way the 18 government remembers it. That's why we were surprised that 19 the Defendant, after getting that commitment from all 15:04 20 parties involved, would refuse to sign the paperwork that 21 would help the liquidation of the property. It was all done 22 per -- That's what counsel had represented to the Court and 23 that's what the government pretty much was in agreement 24 with. So, this is a new wrinkle today, the Defendant 25 refusing to sign the transfer of documents. 15:05

THE COURT: Well, now we have gotten a waiver from 1 2 the government to restitution above the proceeds of the ten 3 properties. So, why can't she waive her interest in the ten 4 properties? 5 MR. ADLER: And, Judge, all I can tell the Court 15:05 6 is, after discussing it with her, she does not want to sign 7 this waiver. And, again, although I understand that it may 8 make things easier for the government in dealing with the 9 title policies, she doesn't want to sign it and she has been 15:05 10 divested of any right in the property. So, it doesn't seem 11 to be necessary. It doesn't affect the value of the 12 restitution. And our decision --13 THE COURT: Well, you can't tell whether it will 14 affect the value or not. I mean, I don't know what state 15 the title is in, period. You know, what we have done here 15:06 16 is forfeit her interests. 17 MS. HAYDEN: Yes, Your Honor. She's co-owner with 18 co-defendant Javier Belmontes and he is set for sentencing 19 June 18th. He has agreed to forfeit his interest in seven 15:06 20 of the properties. And her plea agreement -- the government 21 agreed to allow him to retain his interest in three of the 22 properties. So, that's the state of the title. There's no 23 other owners in the property other than those two 24 individuals. 25 THE COURT: So, is he going to consent to the

15:06

government's selling the three and splitting the proceeds? 1 2 MS. HAYDEN: Yes, Your Honor. That is my 3 understanding. THE COURT: Because you don't want me to be in 4 5 business with him. 15:06 6 MS. HAYDEN: Exactly. 7 THE COURT: And vice versa. I mean, if he is 8 smart, he doesn't want to have you as a partner. Not personally. "You" being the government. 9 15:07 10 MS. HAYDEN: I understand. 11 THE COURT: All right. Let me think about that. 12 This is not the ruling, but my sense is that I have a 13 reluctancy to compel her to sign a document which only 14 pertains to what should be a closed issue on the title of 15 the property, the forfeiture of which she has also agreed 15:07 16 to. 17 MS. DeGABRIELLE: Your Honor, as the Court 18 contemplates this issue, I would just like to bring to the 19 Court's attention -- I am sure the Court is aware of the All 15:08 20 Writs Act and the authority in the court to execute whatever 21 order is necessary to carry out the Court's business. 22 THE COURT: It's one of my favorite acts. 'He can 23 do what he wants.' It actually turns out it doesn't mean 24 that, but.... 25 MS. DeGABRIELLE: So, the fact that some of the 15:08

issues raised by defense counsel about the Court questioning 1 2 maybe the Court's authority or risk of appearance of 3 oppression in ordering the Defendant --4 THE COURT: It's my job. 5 MS. DeGABRIELLE: -- that could become final with 15:08 6 the All Writs Act, Your Honor. 7 MR. ADLER: I'm not questioning the Court's 8 authority. And, of course, if the Court orders her, she 9 risks being held in contempt if she refuses. I am simply 15:09 10 saying she has declined to sign it, and it seems to me there 11 is another way to get where the government needs to be. Ιf 12 it's the title companies that want some proof, then perhaps 13 an order stating the status of the situation from the Court 14 might carry more weight than any possible waiver she might 15 sign. 15:09 16 THE COURT: I am going to think about this. 17 Has the government talked to the title 18 company? 19 MS. DeGABRIELLE: With regard to these properties, 15:09 20 no, Your Honor, but we are dealing with these issues in a 21 broader scope with other properties. We're in the process 22 of trying to educate title companies about what a court 23 order divesting someone of ownership means. Other districts 24 in Texas don't seem to have the same trouble that we have. 25 I think we'll eventually get there. It's just we haven't 15:10

1 gotten there yet. 2 THE COURT: Well, it's not a common problem, 3 although they must deal with bankruptcy orders all the time. 4 MS. DeGABRIELLE: I think the bankruptcy statutes carry a procedure for acquiring title just like the 5 15:10 6 forfeiture statutes do, but --7 THE COURT: So does the Texas law. 8 MS. DeGABRIELLE: So, if we foreclosed on a lien, 9 for example --15:10 10 THE COURT: Or she might just decide to have 11 it forfeited and admit she doesn't want the properties in 12 exchange for a plea and all that stuff. I mean, I don't 13 know why I couldn't quiet title. MS. DeGABRIELLE: It's a matter that needs to be 14 15 raised with the U.S. Marshal Service as well, Your Honor. 15:10 16 Maybe we can get them and the title companies onboard on 17 these matters that fall into that...prior to --18 THE COURT: How do you select the title company you 19 use? 20 MS. DeGABRIELLE: I think we'll select one that 15:11 21 understands how to represent that a title is forfeitable, 22 you know, when there is this glitch in the chain of 23 ownership that --24 THE COURT: It's not really a glitch. This order 25 is no different than a probated court order that says that 15:11

	1	"X" died and now "Y" has the property, an order enforcing a
	2	contract, it seems to me. But, still, there are a
	3	reasonably modest number of these compared with the universe
	4	of commercial and residential foreclosures.
15:12	5	Contempt seems to be a uniquely ineffectual
	6	tool at this stage in the procedure, Mr. Adler. What do I
	7	do? Let her out for 90 days as punishment?
	8	MR. ADLER: I didn't want to raise that, Judge, but
	9	obviously, that is
15:12	10	THE COURT: Well, I understand. It's hard to see
	11	it as a useful or
	12	MR. ADLER: The other thing I would raise, Judge,
	13	is this certainly could have been raised in discussions with
	14	the government prior to her pleading guilty, and to sort of
15:12	15	force it on her now, when we could have perhaps used it to
	16	negotiate a favorable plea agreement for her, also seems
	17	unfair, if nothing else.
	18	THE COURT: Well, no. I mean, I don't know why
	19	assisting in the forfeiture is unfair.
15:13	20	MR. ADLER: No. Assisting is not in the
	21	forfeiture, Judge, but it's clearly something the government
	22	feels that it needs and thereby could have been a bargaining
	23	chip to negotiate some sort of an agreement with her.
	24	THE COURT: I think she already agreed to give up
15:13	25	her right. That's what agreeing to a forfeiture is. This

is a different version of the same commitment. 1 2 I am going to think about this because I want 3 to make sure that we maintain as much of the value of the properties as possible. Perhaps I could give one of the government lawyers to the title company as a hostage. 15:13 5 6 All right. Are there any other problems? 7 MR. MAGLIOLO: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Miss DeGabrielle, would you please send 9 me the examples that you have, a copy of them. Do you have them in an editable form? 15:14 10 11 MS. DeGABRIELLE: "Edible"? 12 THE COURT: "Editable". 13 MS. DeGABRIELLE: Yes. I have it in electronic 14 form. 15 THE COURT: As you have known for a number of 15:14 16 years, I skipped my diction classes. It's the only thing 17 that kept me from being a famous country and western 18 singer -- well, that and not being able to sing. I could 19 have stumbled into other fields of music, because, 15:14 20 apparently, in some of them it doesn't matter whether you 21 can sing or not. 22 In a digital form. 23 MS. DeGABRIELLE: Yes, Your Honor. And I can send 24 it to you. 25 THE COURT: If you will just e-mail them to my case 15:14

```
manager, please, ma'am. I will look at them and think about
      1
      2
          this. And do that with the order of restitution in case I
      3
          want to --
      4
                         And are you sure they're all adults,
      5
          Mr. Magliolo?
15:14
                   MR. PEREZ: May I have one moment, Your Honor?
      7
                    THE COURT: Yes, sir.
      8
                   MR. PEREZ: They're all adults now, Your Honor.
      9
          fact, the youngest victim turned 18 this month.
                                                            So, they're
15:15
     10
          all adults now.
     11
                   THE COURT: All right.
     12
                   MR. MAGLIOLO: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                     I am sure.
     13
                    THE COURT: We're still using initials. If we have
     14
          adults who are the beneficiaries of a restitution order, I
     15
          think we ought to go ahead and start doing things in their
15:15
     16
          names. Shouldn't we?
     17
                   MR. PEREZ: One more moment. Let me speak to the
     18
          agent because there are some issues in this case, Your
     19
          Honor.
15:15
     20
                   MR. ADLER: I will talk with my client, but I don't
     21
          think that she would have any problem not attaching a stigma
     22
          to any of these individuals by using their names. Now,
     23
          whether it causes problems as far as getting money to --
     2.4
                    THE COURT: At some point we have to write a check
     25
          to somebody besides "RA". The trust will have to be -- The
15:16
```

```
trust doesn't have to be put in the record, I don't guess.
      1
      2
           The government can keep a copy. Each girl could have a
      3
           copy, but....
      4
                    MR. PEREZ: The Government's position is, Your
          Honor, to speak to the agent and other people involved in
      5
15:16
      6
          this case. If we could keep their initials, Your Honor,
      7
          because it's open to the public, it's open to the media
      8
           and --
      9
                    THE COURT: Okay. No. I'm -- Of course, that's
15:16
     10
          true of victims in all your other cases, and these were
     11
           anonymous because they were minors.
     12
                   MR. PEREZ: Yes, Your Honor.
     13
                    THE COURT: And that is -- But for this round I
     14
           quess we'll have to have a sealed document that -- because
     15
          we don't have any Social Security numbers or --
15:17
     16
                    MR. PEREZ: We really appreciate it, Your Honor.
     17
                    MR. MAGLIOLO: And maybe we can structure something
     18
          where we have some limited sealing except as to appropriate
     19
          parties. We do have sometimes as to the lawyers and to the
15:17
     20
          U.S. Attorney's Office. It may be that we can structure it
     21
           in such a way it won't be available to the general public
     22
          but be available to the people who needs it in order to
     23
           transfer the property --
     24
                    THE COURT: Some bank is going to have to look at
     25
           it if we don't keep the funds here. If it's going to be a
15:17
```

trust, they'll probably want to see that there is a trust. 1 2 But the trust document can simply be kept private if it's 3 not filed at all. 4 MR. PEREZ: And, again, we appreciate the Court --5 THE COURT: Yeah. There's a continual conflict 15:18 6 between the public emphasis and the public administration of 7 justice and people not wanting to be identified. With 8 children, it should be automatic that they're not, but as 9 adults it should be done with great care. Anonymous 15:18 10 accusers, anonymous witnesses are a very bad thing to have. 11 MR. PEREZ: Although they do know --12 THE COURT: They know. This part is over. 13 All right. I will add that to my list of 14 things to resolve. But at the moment we'll do the -- I take 15 it, then, there has been no concern expressed by a title 15:19 16 company about the forfeiture order's terms. 17 MS. DeGABRIELLE: Not the forfeiture order, Your 18 If the assets are seized and forfeited by the United 19 States, there is a proceeding to quiet title in the 15:19 20 forfeiture statutes. There's not such a thing in financial litigation, unless we actually foreclose on the lien. 21 22 there is a procedure by which title gets transferred. 23 What other districts in Texas do is appoint a 24 receiver, have the assets titled in the receiver's name and 25 have the receiver sell the property so there is no 15:19

1 continuation of title. I think we can find an easier way to 2 do it, but --

15:20

15:20

15:20

15:20

15:21

THE COURT: I mean, if it's forfeited to the United States why can't the United States just deed it?

MR. MAGLIOLO: It's not going to be forfeited to the United States, Your Honor. Miss Hayden can explain that, but my understanding is it was forfeited to the United States. Then we have to ask the United States for permission to do what we want to do.

THE COURT: Well, we don't want to do that.

MR. MAGLIOLO: I'm thankful for Miss Hayden that she's explained all that to us. And that's why we are -- it took us a little while to figure out how to do it in a manner to give the Court the latitude to do what the Court wants to do. And that's why we got Miss DeGabrielle involved, because she -- between the two of them, then they gave us directions on how to effectuate the Court's wishes, and that's why we're proceeding in this manner.

MS. HAYDEN: I understand what Your Honor is saying. I understand exactly. And these are things that need to be worked out. I never thought that there shouldn't be any problem because it's already titled to the United States. The problem is, to be titled to the United States completely, you have to have a final order of forfeiture. And you're familiar with a third-party ancillary proceeding

where you publish and you know the third parties.

2 So, at this point, FLU is going to come in.

3 There is not any lienholder that we're aware of expect for

4 one potential lienholder. We're still going to publish

according to what our obligation is to do and then FLU is

6 going to -- instead of us going through the complete

7 | process, the Financial Litigation Unit would then come in to

8 | actually liquidate the properties.

1

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15:21

15:21

15:21

15:21

15:22

And in forfeiture even sometimes, Your Honor, with the title companies we're having problems. Different title companies require different things, and even with a forfeiture order a lot of times they require a deed -- you know, many times we'll have a warranty deed from the person from whom the properties or the -- We are trying to work out things.

The Marshal Service really does a good job trying to work through these problems with the title companies, but we do have issues with title companies sometimes.

THE COURT: Well, I know, but they're a lot like some parts of the government who are used to checking Box A, checking Box B, and court orders are not normally part of that. I mean, having closed a whole bunch of land transactions, you would be surprised at the number of things that don't fit standard operating procedures and it's

frustrating. On the other hand, if I were going to put up 1 2 my money to guarantee title, I might be careful, but 3 sometimes it's just a reaction to the novelty rather than to 4 a substantive defect. 5 15:22 I mean, we know the title went in to 6 Miss Rojas. Right? 7 MS. HAYDEN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And it's a general warranty deed? 9 MS. HAYDEN: Right. Yes, Your Honor. 15:22 10 THE COURT: And, so, what I said was whatever she 11 got she gets in restitution. It should be easy. 12 MS. HAYNE: And to be fair, Your Honor, we haven't 13 done this very often through the FLU section; so, it's all being kind of explored. But I agree with you. I think with 14 15 the facts that we have it should be something that is not 15:23 16 going to present too many problems. 17 THE COURT: All right. What I would suggest is you 18 choose a title company and just simply go talk to them and 19 say, after we find out what you end up with, 'Here's what 15:23 20 the Court is doing. Here's what the title in Miss Rojas 21 What would you require to pass title to a third-party 22 purchaser?' 23 MR. MAGLIOLO: Your Honor, could we just, for the 24 record -- if the Court is reluctant to order the Defendant 25 to sign the documents, could the Court on the record request 15:24

	1	
	1	that the Defendant sign the documents and let the record be
	2	clear that the Defendant will then refuse?
	3	THE COURT: Well, I did. Her lawyer made a nice
	4	little speech.
15:24	5	MR. MAGLIOLO: Well, I mean, that was really
	6	refused to sign for us. The Court hadn't really requested
	7	her to sign it.
	8	THE COURT: If I order her to do it I am going to
	9	order it. I'm not going to ask her, 'Will you obey an order
15:24	10	if I issue one?'
	11	MR. MAGLIOLO: Thank you, Your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: I am going to decide if that's the way
	13	I want to approach this and then I am going to do it, and
	14	then I am not going to put her to a hypothetical choice.
15:24	15	MR. MAGLIOLO: Thank you, Your Honor. Because it
	16	would be my understanding that, if she was going to be found
	17	in contempt, that would be dead time that would not apply
	18	against the time that she has to serve. So, there would be
	19	a detriment to I believe.
15:25	20	THE COURT: How long is the sentence?
	21	MR. MAGLIOLO: 16 years, Your Honor, I believe.
	22	MR. ADLER: I believe that's correct, Your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: I don't know how realistic it is to
	24	treat 90 days out of 16 years as a marginally effective
15:25	25	punishment.

	1	MR. MAGLIOLO: Well, I can remember in state
	2	practice, Your Honor, where people would say they didn't do
	3	a certain amount of time, but then they got to thinking that
	4	when that time is up they want to go someplace else, and
15:25	5	another 60, 90, 120, 180 days is a significant amount of
	6	time once your time is served.
	7	THE COURT: No. I understand. But my point is
	8	thinking 16 years out is different from you can be out in a
	9	year or a year and a quarter. That's a human scale
15:25	10	perception.
	11	MR. MAGLIOLO: I understand.
	12	THE COURT: All right. So, that's my suggestion,
	13	is you talk to a title one or both of you talk to a title
	14	company and say, 'Here's what we're doing. What would you
15:26	15	require?' and then they can look up on their computer
	16	Do you have a title report?
	17	MS. HAYDEN: Yes, Your Honor, we do. We have title
	18	reports on all the properties.
	19	THE COURT: Carry it with you and say, 'Look. We
15:26	20	have got warranty deeds going in to these people. The Court
	21	wants to order a transfer. What else would you need?'
	22	MS. DeGABRIELLE: Yes, Your Honor. I will do that.
	23	MR. ADLER: I am just impressed that Mr. Magliolo
	24	can remember back when he practiced in state court.
15:26	25	THE COURT: I can't hear you.

1	MR. ADLER: I am impressed that Mr. Magliolo can
2	remember the time he remembered in state court at his age.
3	THE COURT: I am impressed he can remember
4	anything. He'd probably say that about me, too.
5	All right. Thank you, counsel.
6	
7	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
8	I, BRUCE SLAVIN, certify that the foregoing is a
9	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
10	above-entitled matter, to the best of my ability.
11	
12	<u>s/Bruce Slavin</u> BRUCE SLAVIN, RPR, CM
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	